IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS, EASTERN DIVISION

TYRONE HERRON,)
Plaintiff,) Case No. 21-cv-4813
v.))
CITY OF CHICAGO, Former)
CHICAGO POLICE SERGEANT)
RONALD WATTS, OFFICER)
ELSWORTH J. SMITH, JR.,)
OFFICER ROBERT GONZALEZ,)
SERGEANT ALVIN JONES,)
OFFICER BRIAN BOLTON,)
PHILIP J. CLINE, DEBRA KIRBY,)
KAREN ROWAN,)
and other as-yet-unidentified officers)
of the Chicago Police Department,) JURY TRIAL DEMANDED
Defendants.	,)

COMPLAINT

Plaintiff Tyrone Herron, by his attorneys, Loevy & Loevy, hereby complains against Defendants, City of Chicago, former Chicago Police Sergeant Ronald Watts, Officer Elsworth J. Smith, Jr., Officer Robert Gonzalez, Sergeant Alvin Jones, Officer Brian Bolton, Philip J. Cline, Debra Kirby, Karen Rowan, and other as-yet-unidentified officers of the Chicago Police Department, and states as follows:

Introduction

1. Since January 2016, the Circuit Court of Cook County, Illinois has overturned 110 wrongful convictions tied to Sgt. Ronald Watts and his corrupt team of officers in what Illinois courts has called one of the most staggering cases of police

corruption in the history of Chicago. Tyrone Herron's wrongful conviction is one of the most recent to be overturned.

- 2. Mr. Herron was convicted of and incarcerated for a crime he did not commit.
- 3. The crime never happened; it was completely fabricated by corrupt Chicago police officers.
 - 4. Mr. Herron was arrested on December 4, 2006.
- 5. Mr. Herron's arrest occurred at the Ida B. Wells housing complex, a location that was heavily policed by corrupt Chicago police officers.
- 6. The corrupt officers sought bribes, planted drugs, and falsely accused many people, including Mr. Herron, of possessing drugs.
- 7. The type of encounter these police officers had with Mr. Herron was unfortunately quite common, and the consequences were dire: false arrest, criminal proceeding, incarceration, and a subsequent felony record.
- 8. Believing that he faced no chance of winning at trial following his December 4, 2006, arrest, Mr. Herron pled guilty.
- 9. Defendant Ronald Watts and former Chicago Police Officer Kallatt
 Mohammed were later caught on tape engaging in the exact type of misconduct that
 Mr. Herron had alleged against them.
- 10. The federal government charged Watts, and Mohammed criminally, and the disgraced officers pled guilty and served time in federal prison.

- 11. Since then, evidence has come to light showing that Defendant Watts and his crew engaged in a pattern of criminal misconduct against public housing residents and visitors and that Chicago Police Department officials have long known about that pattern.
 - 12. The scope of this misconduct cannot be overstated.
- 13. For example, the Chief Justice of Illinois' Court of Claims has written that "many individuals were wrongfully convicted as a result of one of the most staggering cases of police corruption in the history of the City of Chicago," explaining that "Watts and his team of police officers ran what can only be described as a criminal enterprise right out of the movie 'Training Day."
- 14. The Court of Claims Chief Justice explained that "[o]n many occasions when these residents [of public housing] refused to pay the extortive demands the Watts crew would fabricate drug charges against them."
- 15. The Illinois Appellate Court, too, has weighed in on the scope of the scandal, repeatedly calling Watts and his team "corrupt police officers" and "criminals" and chastising the City's police disciplinary oversight body for doing "nothing to slow down the criminals" from their rampant misconduct and perjury.
- 16. On or around November 16, 2017, the Cook County State's Attorney Office (CCSAO) successfully moved to vacate the convictions of 15 individuals framed by the Watts outfit.

- 17. In light of that decision by the CCSAO and recognizing the scope of misconduct that the City let go on for more than a decade unabated, many of the Watts crew were placed on desk duty.
- 18. Since then, the CCSAO has successfully moved to vacate many more convictions.
- 19. In recognition of the scope of their misconduct, the CSSAO will no longer call many of Watts's team including at least some of Defendants in this case as witnesses "due to concerns about [their] credibility and alleged involvement in the misconduct of Sergeant Watts."
- 20. Through this lawsuit, Mr. Herron seeks accountability and compensation for being deprived of his liberty as a result of Defendants' misconduct.

Jurisdiction and Venue

- 21. This action is brought pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983 to redress the deprivation under color of law of Plaintiff's rights as secured by the Constitution of the United States.
- 22. This Court has jurisdiction over federal claims pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1331 and state law claims pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1367.
- 23. Venue is proper under 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b). Plaintiff resides in this judicial district and Defendant City of Chicago is a municipal corporation located here. Additionally, the events giving rise to the claims asserted herein occurred within this judicial district.

The Parties

- 24. Mr. Herron is 48 years old. He currently resides in Chicago, Illinois.
- 25. At all times relevant to this complaint, Defendants Ronald Watts, Elsworth J. Smith, Jr., Robert Gonzalez, Alvin Jones, Brian Bolton, and other as-yet-unidentified officers of the Chicago Police Department were police officers employed by the City of Chicago and acting within the scope of their employment and under the color of law. Collectively, these individual Defendants are referred to as Defendant Officers.
- 26. At all relevant times, Defendant Watts was a leader of the Second District Tactical Team that worked the Ida B. Wells housing complex.
- 27. Defendants Elsworth J. Smith, Jr., Robert Gonzalez, Alvin Jones, and Brian Bolton, and other as-yet-unidentified officers of the Chicago Police Department worked on Watts's tactical team.
- 28. At all relevant times, Defendants Phillip J. Cline was the Superintendent of the Chicago Police Department.
- 29. At all relevant times, Defendant Debra Kirby and Karen Rowan were Assistant Deputy Superintendents of the Chicago Police Department, acting as the heads of its Internal Affairs Division (IAD). Collectively, Defendant Kirby, Defendant Cline, Defendant Rowan, and other as-yet-unidentified officers of the Chicago Police Department are referred to as Defendant Supervisory Officers.

30. Defendant City of Chicago is a municipal corporation under the laws of the State of Illinois. The City operates the Chicago Police Department (CPD) and is responsible for the policies, practices, and customs of the City and the CPD.

Factual Background

- 31. During the 2000s, Mr. Herron visited the Chicago Housing Authority's Ida B. Wells housing complex.
- 32. During the times complained of, the Ida B. Wells complex was actively patrolled by a tactical team of CPD officers, led by Defendant Watts.
- 33. Watts and his tactical team members were well known to the residents of the Ida B. Wells area.
- 34. Watts and his tactical team members maintained a visible presence in the Ida B. Wells area. The Watts team had a reputation in the community for harassing, intimidating, and fabricating criminal charges against the area's residents and visitors.
 - 35. The Watts team's pattern of harassment continued with Mr. Herron.

Mr. Herron is Framed on December 4, 2006

- 36. On December 4, 2006, Mr. Herron was visiting the Ida B. Wells complex.
 - 37. Mr. Herron did not have drugs or anything illegal on him.
- 38. Mr. Herron had started to leave the building, when he was approached by a man he believed to be an undercover police officer, who told him to go back into the lobby.

- 39. Mr. Herron was escorted back into the lobby of the building by the man and detained.
 - 40. Once in the lobby, Mr. Herron was placed among other detained men.
- 41. Officers detained Mr. Herron and the others and searched them without any probable cause. The officers found nothing illegal on the men.
 - 42. Defendant Watts came into the lobby.
- 43. Defendant Watts announced that he would let everyone go if someone could get him drugs.
 - 44. Mr. Herron did not have anything to give to Watts.
- 45. Defendant Watts instructed the officers to arrest Mr. Herron and the other men detained in the lobby.
- 46. Mr. Herron did not know why he was arrested or what he was being charged with.
- 47. At the police station, Mr. Herron was handcuffed to a bench with other men who were also arrested.
 - 48. Defendant Watts showed the men what appeared to be bags of drugs.
 - 49. Mr. Herron was then charged with possession of narcotics.

Mr. Herron is Prosecuted, Convicted, and Sentenced

- 50. The Defendant Officers prepared false and fabricated police reports related to this arrest.
- 51. On the basis of the false report, Mr. Herron was prosecuted for a drug crime.

- 52. At no point in the criminal proceedings did the Defendant Officers ever disclose that they had falsified evidence.
- 53. Mr. Herron was appointed a public defender to represent him for the case.
- 54. Defendant Officers never disclosed to the prosecutors any of their misconduct described herein. If the prosecutors had known that Defendant Officers fabricated evidence and committed the other misconduct described herein, they would not have pursued the prosecution of Mr. Herron, and his unlawful deprivation of liberty would not have been continued.
- 55. Given that the entirety of the State's case against Mr. Herron rested on Defendant Officers' fabrication of evidence and the credibility of Defendant Officers, the exculpatory evidence described in the preceding paragraphs would have been material to Mr. Herron's defense of his criminal charges.
- 56. Even though Mr. Herron was innocent, knowing that he risked significant time in prison if he went to trial and lost, Mr. Herron accepted a plea deal.
 - 57. Mr. Herron was sentenced to a term of incarceration.

Defendant Watts and His Team Engaged in a Pattern of Misconduct for at Least a Decade, All Facilitated by the City's Code of Silence

58. It was no secret within the CPD that Watts and his crew engaged in the type of misconduct of which Mr. Herron accuses them.

- 59. Government officials, including those with the City of Chicago, knew about Watts's and his crew's alleged misconduct as early as 1999.
- 60. Shortly thereafter, an FBI investigation of Watts and his crew was underway. The FBI investigation took place with the knowledge and occasional participation of the Chicago Police Department's Internal Affairs Division (IAD).
- 61. Because IAD was kept abreast of the FBI investigation, during the times complained of, City officials—including but not limited to the heads of IAD and CPD Superintendents—were aware of credible allegations that Watts and his team were extorting and soliciting bribes from drug dealers.
- 62. Watts used a drug dealer named "Big Shorty" to run drugs at the Ida B. Wells complex. Big Shorty would sell the drugs, turning profits over to Watts in exchange for Watts's protection. Watts used drug dealers as phony informants to obtain illegitimate search warrants. Watts also offered to let arrestees go if they provided him with weapons.
- 63. Targets of the FBI investigation extended beyond Watts to members of Watts's tactical team, including some of the Officer Defendants named herein.
- 64. During the times complained of, the FBI investigation generated evidence showing that Watts engaged in systematic extortion, theft, the possession and distribution of drugs for money, planting drugs on subjects, and paying informants with drugs.
- 65. Investigators also determined that Watts and his subordinates had engaged in these activities for years.

Watts and Mohammed are Charged with Federal Crimes

- 66. In 2012, after at least a decade of engaging in criminal misconduct,

 Defendants Watts and Mohammed were caught red-handed, shaking down a person
 they thought was a drug courier, but who was actually an agent for the FBI.
- 67. The U.S. government subsequently charged Watts and Mohammed with federal crimes.
- 68. Watts and Mohammed each pled guilty to federal criminal charges and both were sentenced to terms of imprisonment. *See United States v. Watts*, No. 12-CR-87-1 (N.D. Ill.); *United States v. Mohammed*, No. 12-CR-87-2 (N.D. Ill.).
- 69. In its sentencing memorandum in the criminal case against Watts, the government explained that "[f]or years... the defendant [Watts] used his badge and his position as a sergeant with the Chicago Police Department to shield his own criminal activity from law enforcement scrutiny." His crimes included "stealing drug money and extorting protection payments" from the individuals he was sworn to protect and serve.
- 70. The government revealed that, for years, Defendant Watts and former Chicago Police Officer Kallatt Mohammed extorted tens of thousands of dollars in bribes from individuals at the Ida B. Wells public housing complex on numerous occasions as part of their duties with the CPD.
- 71. During the sentencing hearing, the government urged Judge Sharon Johnson Coleman to "consider the other criminal conduct that the defendant [Watts] engaged in throughout the course of his career as a police officer,"

specifically noting that during the federal investigation, Watts "did other things such as putting a false case on the confidential source that was involved in our investigation. Watts had him arrested on drug charges. And the source . . . felt he had no chance of successfully fighting that case so he pled guilty to a crime he didn't commit." The federal prosecutor wondered aloud "how many times [Watts] might have done something similar when the government was not involved."

- 72. Following the federal indictments of Watts and Mohammed, City officials made efforts to downplay the magnitude of Watts's criminal enterprise.
- 73. Notwithstanding the evidence investigators had amassed over the years pointing to a wide, decade long criminal enterprise, CPD Superintendent Garry McCarthy publicly stated, "There is nobody involved other than the two officers who were arrested." As described in more detail below, McCarthy was wrong.

The City's "Code of Silence"

- 74. While the federal government was investigating Watts and his crew, a "code of silence" existed within the Chicago Police Department.
- 75. Under this code, police officers are expected to conceal each other's misconduct, in contravention of their sworn duties, and penalties for breaking the code of silence within the CPD are severe.
- 76. As one CPD officer has explained, "[The Chicago Police Academy told officers] over and over again we do not break the code of silence. Blue is Blue. You stick together. If something occurs on the street that you don't think is proper, you

go with the flow. And after that situation, if you have an issue with that officer or what happened, you can confront them. If you don't feel comfortable working with them anymore, you can go to the watch commander and request a new partner. But you never break the code of silence."

- 77. Pursuant to this "code of silence," each of the Defendant Officers concealed from Mr. Herron information that Watts and his crew members were in fact engaged in a wide-ranging pattern of misconduct. Had this information been disclosed to Mr. Herron, he would have used it to impeach the officers' accounts, which would have changed the outcome of the criminal proceedings instituted against him.
- 78. Also, consistent with this "code of silence," the few people who stood up to Watts and his crew and/or attempted to report his misconduct were either ignored or punished, while Watts and his crew continued to engage in misconduct with impunity.

The Careers of CPD Officers Daniel Echeverria and Shannon Spaulding are Nearly Ruined

- 79. In 2006, two Chicago police officers, Daniel Echeverria and Shannon Spaulding, learned credible information from arrestees that Watts and his crew were engaged in illegal drug activity.
- 80. Officer Echeverria took the allegation seriously and reported it to a CPD supervisor. The supervisor made clear that he was not interested in hearing about the allegation, and he directed Echeverria not to document the allegations.

- 81. Echeverria and Spaulding subsequently reported the allegations about Watts and his crew to the FBI. Soon thereafter, Echeverria and Spaulding began cooperating with the FBI and actively assisting the FBI with its investigation of Watts and his crew.
- 82. When their cooperation became known to officers within their CPD chain of command, Spaulding and Echeverria were labeled "rats" within the Department, their lives were threatened, and they endured all manner of professional retaliation by members of the CPD.
- 83. Spaulding and Echeverria subsequently sued the City for the retaliation they suffered for blowing the whistle on Watts and his crew. On the eve of trial in that case, the City settled for \$2 million.

CPD Officer Michael Spaargaren's Life is Threatened

- 84. Sometime in the mid-2000s, CPD Officer Michael Spaargaren was assigned to work with Watts in public housing.
- 85. Spaargaren observed that Watts did not inventory drugs and money that officers seized during arrests, and Spaargaren confronted Watts about the misconduct.
- 86. In response, Watts threatened to plant a false case against Spaargaren and made veiled threats to kill him.
- 87. A CPD lieutenant in the chain of command—James Spratte—subsequently warned Spaargaren to keep his mouth shut or his life would be in danger.

88. Fearful for his life, Spaargaren opted to take a one-and-a-half-year leave of absence from CPD rather than continue to work under Watts.

Citizen Complaints Went Nowhere

- 89. Defendant Watts, former Chicago Police Officer Kallatt Mohammed, and other members of Watts's tactical team had accumulated scores of citizen complaints concerning violations of citizens' civil rights over the years. These complaints began well before the misconduct Defendants committed against Mr. Herron, and yet, the City did nothing to stop the misconduct.
- 90. On information and belief, complaints that the City bothered to investigate largely boiled down to a he-said-she-said between the officer and the citizen, and the City's policy was to resolve those disputes in the officers' favor— no matter how many citizens came forward with the same type of complaint.
- 91. The Illinois Appellate Court recently criticized the City for its utter failure to address the Watts team misconduct.
- 92. In multiple instances, the City actually assigned Watts to investigate complaints made against him or members of the team he supervised.

The City Turns a Blind Eye to the Clear Pattern of Alleged Misconduct that Emerged from Watts and His Crew

93. Despite all of the evidence that was amassed over the years of a pattern and practice of criminal misconduct by Defendant Officers, on information and belief, the City never undertook its own investigation of the clear pattern that emerged.

- 94. As City officials were aware, the purpose of the FBI investigation was to investigate and prosecute criminal activity, not to impose discipline and control of the City's Police Department.
- 95. Nothing about the FBI investigation relieved the City of its fundamental responsibility to supervise, discipline, and control its officers.
- 96. Nevertheless, the City completely abdicated this responsibility, allowing the widespread misconduct to continue undeterred throughout the FBI's criminal investigation of Watts and his crew.
- 97. During the FBI investigation, which spanned at least eight years, City officials had reason to believe that Watts and his crew were committing ongoing criminal activity on the streets—extorting drug dealers and framing citizens for crimes they did not commit—yet, City officials took no steps to prevent these abuses from occurring.
- 98. Instead, the City officials let officers on Watts's crew continue to pursue criminal charges against citizens like Mr. Herron and continue to testify falsely against citizens like Mr. Herron.
- 99. City officials withheld information they had about the officers' pattern of transgressions—information that citizens like Mr. Herron could have used to impeach the corrupt officers and defend against the bogus criminal charges brought against them.

Exonerations

- 100. After Defendant Watts and his crew's corruption came to light, on September 12, 2017, a group of similarly situated innocent victims filed a Consolidated Petition for Relief From Judgment and To Vacate Convictions Pursuant to 735 ILCS 5/2-1401 (Consolidated Petition).
- 101. On November 16, 2017, upon the State's motion, Judge LeRoy K. Martin, Jr. vacated and *nolle prossed* all of the convictions related to the Consolidated Petition.
- 102. In commenting on the extraordinary decision to agree to vacate all of the convictions, head of Cook County State's Attorney's Office's Conviction Integrity Unit Mark Rotert stated that, "In these cases, we concluded, unfortunately, that police were not being truthful and we couldn't have confidence in the integrity of their reports and their testimony."
- 103. On September 24, 2018, 18 other similarly situated innocent victims were given a semblance of justice. Upon the State's motion, Judge LeRoy K. Martin, Jr. vacated 23 convictions, and the State *nolle prossed* all charges related to the convictions.
- 104. Following this decision, Mr. Rotert explained that "these arrests were purely conjured [Watts and his team] were basically arresting people and framing them or were claiming they were involved in drug offenses that either didn't occur or didn't occur the way these police officers said."

- 105. At a press conference where she stood with the 18 exonerated men, CCSAO elected State's Attorney Kim Foxx stated that "[t]he system owes an apology to the men who stand behind us."
- 106. On November 2, 2018, 7 more victims had 8 additional convictions voluntarily dismissed by the CCSAO.
- 107. In a Press Release, CCSAO Foxx stated that Watts's and his team's "pattern of misconduct" caused her "to lose confidence in the initial arrests and the validity of these convictions."
- 108. Referring to the exonerees as "victims," Ms. Foxx wished them "a path forward in healing and justice."
 - 109. The CCSAO has since voluntarily dismissed additional convictions.
- 110. On February 24, 2020, after another mass dismissal and in reference to the Watts scandal, Ms. Foxx stated: "I think it's important that we acknowledge the harm that was caused when we talk about these cases. It's not just these men. It's the erosion of the trust in the justice system when we allow for those [men] to be wrongfully convicted based on the misdeeds of corrupt law enforcement."
- 111. On December 15, 2020, after another mass dismissal and in reference to the Watts scandal, Ms. Foxx further stated: "The seeds of distrust for our criminal justice system run deeply in communities most impacted by violence because of people in power like Sergeant Watts and his cronies who targeted and criminally preyed on these communities, leaving these neighborhoods feeling like their voice didn't matter."

- 112. Regarding the exonerations, Foxx went on to state that it is "always the right time to do the right thing" and "never too late to deliver justice" to the Watts-related victims.
- 113. Then again on February 19, 2021 after yet another mass dismissal in which Mr. Herron was exonerated and in reference to the Watts scandal, Ms. Foxx stated: "Vacating the convictions of these nine people today who were targeted by former Police Sergeant Watts provides just a fraction of relief for those who spent time in prison, away from their families, as we will never be able to give them that time back."
- 114. The CCSAO will no longer call certain members of Watts's crew, including at least some of the Defendant Officers named herein, as witnesses in any pending or future matters due to concerns about their credibility and alleged involvement in misconduct.
- 115. In November 2017, former Superintendent of the Chicago Police

 Department, Eddie T. Johnson, placed multiple members of Watts's crew on desk

 duty.
- 116. On March 4, 2021, Mr. Herron received a certificate of innocence for his 2007 conviction.

Mr. Herron's Damages

117. Because of the Defendants' acts and omissions, Mr. Herron was subjected to police harassment and unfair criminal proceedings.

- 118. The Defendant Officers' misconduct and false accusations subjected Mr. Herron to a felony conviction and wrongful imprisonment before he was finally exonerated.
- 119. The pain and suffering caused by being wrongfully incarcerated has been significant. Mr. Herron was deprived of the everyday pleasures of basic human life and his freedom was taken from him. Since then, Mr. Herron has had to live with a felony record he did not deserve.
- 120. As a result of the foregoing, Mr. Herron has suffered physical and emotional damages proximately caused by Defendants' wrongdoing.

Count I: 42 U.S.C. § 1983 - Due Process

- 121. Each paragraph of this Complaint is incorporated as if restated fully herein.
- 122. In the manner described more fully above, Defendant Officers, while acting as investigators, individually, jointly, and in conspiracy with each other, deprived Plaintiff of his constitutional right to due process and a fair trial.
- 123. In the manner described more fully above, Defendant Officers deliberately withheld exculpatory evidence from Plaintiff and from state prosecutors, among others, as well as knowingly fabricated false evidence, thereby misleading and misdirecting the criminal prosecution of Plaintiff.
- 124. Likewise, in the manner described more fully above, Defendants Philip J. Cline, Debra Kirby, Karen Rowan, and other as-yet-unidentified CPD supervisors, had knowledge of a pattern of misconduct by Watts and his team.

These Defendant Supervisory Officers knew of a substantial risk that Watts and his team would violate the rights of Mr. Herron and other residents and visitors of the Ida B. Wells complex, and they deliberately chose a course of action that allowed those abuses to continue, thereby condoning those abuses.

- 125. The constitutional injuries complained of herein were proximately caused by the intentional misconduct of Defendant Supervisory Officers, or were proximately caused when Defendant Supervisory Officers were deliberately, recklessly indifferent to their subordinates' misconduct, knowing that turning a blind eye to that misconduct would necessarily violate Plaintiff's constitutional rights.
- 126. In addition, Defendant Supervisory Officers themselves concealed exculpatory evidence from Mr. Herron—specifically information about Watts and his team's pattern of misconduct. In this way, Defendant Supervisory Officers violated Mr. Herron's due process right to a fair trial deliberately and with reckless disregard for Mr. Herron's rights.
- 127. Defendants' misconduct directly resulted in the unjust criminal conviction of Plaintiff, denying him his constitutional right to due process and a fair trial guaranteed by the Fourteenth Amendment. Absent this misconduct, the prosecution of Plaintiff could not and would not have been pursued.
- 128. The misconduct described in this Count was objectively unreasonable and was undertaken intentionally, with reckless and deliberate indifference to the

rights of others, and in total disregard of the truth and of Mr. Herron's clear innocence.

- 129. Defendants' actions were taken under color of law and within the scope of their employment.
- 130. The City of Chicago is also directly liable for the injuries described in this Count because the City and CPD maintained official policies and customs that were the moving force behind the violation of Plaintiff's rights, and also because the actions of the final policymaking officials for Defendant City of Chicago and CPD were the moving force behind the violation of Plaintiff's rights.
- 131. At all times relevant to the events described in this Complaint and for a period of time prior thereto, Defendant City of Chicago maintained a system that violated the due process rights of criminal defendants like Mr. Herron by concealing exculpatory evidence of Chicago police officers' patterns of misconduct.
- 132. In addition, at all times relevant to the events described in this

 Complaint and for a period of time prior thereto, Defendant City of Chicago had

 notice of a widespread practice by its officers and agents under which criminal

 suspects, such as Mr. Herron, were routinely deprived of exculpatory evidence, were

 subjected to criminal proceedings based on false evidence, and were deprived of

 liberty without probable cause, such that individuals were routinely implicated in

 crimes to which they had no connection and for which there was scant evidence to

 suggest that they were involved.

- 133. As a matter of both policy and practice, Defendant City directly encourages, and is thereby the moving force behind, the very type of misconduct at issue here by failing to adequately train, supervise, control, and discipline its police officers, such that its failure to do so manifests deliberate indifference. Defendant City's practices lead police officers in the City of Chicago to believe that their actions will never be scrutinized and, in that way, directly encourage further abuses such as those that Mr. Herron endured.
- as to constitute the de facto policy of the City of Chicago, were allowed to exist because municipal policymakers with authority over the same exhibited deliberate indifference to the problem, thereby effectively ratifying it. These widespread practices were allowed to flourish because Defendant City and the CPD declined to implement sufficient policies or training, even though the need for such policies and training was obvious. Defendant City and the CPD also declined to implement any legitimate mechanism for oversight or punishment of officers, thereby leading officers to believe that they could violate citizens' constitutional rights with impunity.
- 135. Furthermore, the misconduct described in this Complaint was undertaken pursuant to the policy and practices of Defendant City in that the constitutional violations committed against Plaintiff were committed with the knowledge or approval of persons with final policymaking authority for the City of

Chicago and the CPD, or were actually committed by persons with such final policymaking authority.

- 136. Indeed, municipal policymakers have long been aware of Defendant City's policy and practice of failing to properly train, monitor, investigate, and discipline misconduct by its police officers, but have failed to take action to remedy the problem.
- 137. For example, at a City Council hearing on September 28, 1999, in response to two high-profile unjustified police shootings, Superintendent Terry Hillard noted the need for better in-service training on the use of force, early detection of potential problem officers, and officer accountability for the use of force.
- 138. In June 2000, the Chairman of the Committee on Police and Fire of the Chicago City Council submitted an official resolution recognizing that "[Chicago] police officers who do not carry out their responsibilities in a professional manner have ample reason to believe that they will not be held accountable, even in instances of egregious misconduct."
- 139. In 2001, the Justice Coalition of Greater Chicago (JCGC), a coalition of more than a hundred community groups, confirmed the findings of that resolution, concluding that the CPD lacked many of the basic tools necessary to identify, monitor, punish, and prevent police misconduct. The JCGC findings were presented to Mayor Richard Daley, Superintendent Hillard, and the Chicago Police Board.

- 140. Despite municipal policymakers' knowledge of the City's failed policies and practices to adequately train, supervise, investigate, discipline, and control its police officers, nothing was done to remedy these problems.
- 141. As a result, the CPD has continued to respond to complaints of police misconduct inadequately and with undue delay, and has continued to recommend discipline in a disproportionately small number of cases.
- 142. Indeed, by its own admissions, more than 99% of the time when a citizen complains that his or her civil rights were violated by police officers, the City sides with the police officer and concludes that no violation occurred.
- 143. Before she was elected Mayor of the City of Chicago, then-Police Board Chair, Lori Lightfoot made clear that "[a]ny of those officers [on Watts team] who remain on the job must be quickly brought to justice through criminal prosecution and/or disciplinary action."
- 144. Yet, as of the filing of this complaint, the Lightfoot administration has not taken the type of action that Ms. Lightfoot demanded before she became mayor.
- 145. Notably, Defendant Watts and his crew are not the first Chicago police officers who were allowed to abuse citizens with impunity over a period of years while the City turned a blind eye.
- 146. For instance, in 2001, Chicago Police Officer Joseph Miedzianowski was convicted on federal crime charges, including racketeering and drug conspiracy. The jury found that Miedzianowski engaged in corruption for much of his 22-year police career, using street informants to shake down drug dealers and sell drugs.

- 147. Miedzianowski, like Defendant Officers in this case, had accumulated scores of complaints over the years. As the Appellate Court has stated, the Defendant City "did nothing to slow down the criminals. Instead, it informed the corrupt officers about the complaint and named the source." The Defendant City deemed such complaints unfounded or not sustained.
- 148. Likewise, in 2011, Chicago police officer Jerome Finnigan was convicted and sentenced on federal criminal charges, including a charge of attempting to hire someone to kill a police officer who Finnigan believed would be a witness against him on his own corruption charges in state court.
- 149. Finnigan was part of a group of officers in Defendant City's Special Operations Section that carried out robberies, home invasions, unlawful searches and seizures, and other crimes.
- 150. Finnigan and his crew engaged in their misconduct at about the same time that Mr. Herron was targeted by Defendant Watts and his crew.
- 151. Finnigan, like Defendant Officers in this case, had accumulated scores of citizen complaints over the years, which Defendant City routinely deemed unfounded or not sustained.
- 152. At his sentencing hearing in 2011, Finnigan stated, "You know, my bosses knew what I was doing out there, and it went on and on. And this wasn't the exception to the rule. This was the rule."

- 153. In the case of *Klipfel v. Bentsen*, No. 94-cv-6415 (N.D. III), a federal jury found that, as of 1994, the CPD maintained a code of silence that facilitated misconduct committed by Miedzianowski.
- 154. Likewise, in the case of *Obrycka v. City of Chicago et al.*, No. 07 CV 2372 (N.D. Ill.), a jury found that, as of February 2007, "the City [of Chicago] had a widespread custom and/or practice of failing to investigate and/or discipline its officers and/or code of silence."
- 155. The same constitutionally-defective oversight system in place during the time periods at issue in the *Klipfel* case and in the *Obrycka* case was also in place during the times complained of herein.
- 156. The same code of silence in place at the CPD during the time periods at issue in the *Klipfel* case and in the *Obrycka* case was also in place during the times complained of herein.
- 157. Indeed, the problems found to exist by the jury in *Klipfel* and *Obrycka* continue to this day. In December 2015, Mayor Rahm Emanuel acknowledged that a "code of silence" exists within the Chicago Police Department that encourages cover-ups of police misconduct, and that the City's attempts to deal with police abuse and corruption have never been adequate.
- 158. The policies, practices, and customs set forth above were the moving force behind the constitutional violations in this case and directly and proximately caused Plaintiff to suffer the grievous and permanent injuries and damages set forth above.

- 159. Defendant City's investigation of complaints is characterized by unreasonably long delays, despite the relatively straightforward nature of many misconduct claims.
- 160. Although Defendant City has long been aware that its supervision, training, and discipline of police officers is entirely inadequate, Defendant City has not enacted any substantive measures to address that deficiency.
- 161. Instead, Defendant City continues to inadequately investigate citizen complaints and fail to take action against officers when necessary. It has also failed to modify its officer training programs to reduce misconduct against Chicago residents or to implement a system to identify and track repeat offenders, districts, or units.
- 162. Plaintiff's injuries were caused by CPD officers, agents, and employees of Defendant City of Chicago, including, but not limited to, the individually named Defendants, who acted pursuant to the policies, practices, and customs set forth above in engaging in the misconduct described in this Count.

Count II: 42 USC 1983 – Malicious Prosecution and Unlawful Pretrial Detention – Fourth and Fourteenth Amendments

- 163. Each paragraph of this Complaint is incorporated as if restated fully herein.
- 164. In the manner described more fully above, Defendants, while acting as investigators, individually, jointly, and in conspiracy with each other, accused Plaintiff of criminal activity and exerted influence to initiate, continue, and

perpetuate judicial proceedings against Plaintiff without any probable cause for doing so and in spite of the fact that they knew Plaintiff was innocent.

- 165. In doing so, Defendants caused Plaintiff to be unreasonably seized without probable cause and deprived of their liberty, in violation of Plaintiff's rights secured by the Fourth and Fourteenth Amendments.
- 166. The false judicial proceedings against Plaintiff were instituted and continued maliciously, resulting in injuries.
- 167. Defendants deprived Plaintiff of fair state criminal proceedings, including the chance to defend himself during those proceedings, resulting in a deprivation of liberty.
- 168. In addition, Defendants subject Plaintiff to arbitrary governmental action that shocks the conscience in that Plaintiff was deliberately and intentionally framed for crimes of which he was totally innocent. This was accomplished through Defendants' fabrication and suppression of evidence.
- 169. The misconduct described in this Count was objectively unreasonable and was undertaken intentionally, with reckless and deliberate indifference to the rights of others, and with total disregard of the truth and of Plaintiff's clear innocence.
- 170. The Defendants' actions were taken under color of law and within the scope of their employment.
- 171. As a result of Defendants' misconduct described in this Count, Plaintiff suffered loss of liberty, great mental anguish, humiliation, degradation, emotional

pain and suffering, and other grievous and continuing injuries and damages as set forth above.

172. Defendants' misconduct described in this Count was undertaken pursuant to the policies, practices, and customs of Defendant City of Chicago, and by Defendants who were final policymakers for the Defendant City of Chicago, in the manner described more fully above.

Count III: 42 U.S.C. § 1983 - Failure to Intervene

- 173. Each paragraph of this Complaint is incorporated as if restated fully herein.
- 174. In the manner described more fully above, during the constitutional violations described herein, Defendants stood by without intervening to prevent the violation of Plaintiff's constitutional rights, even though they had the opportunity to do so.
- 175. The misconduct described in this Count was objectively unreasonable and was undertaken intentionally, with reckless and deliberate indifference to the rights of others, and with total disregard of the truth and of Plaintiff's innocence.
- 176. The Defendants' actions were taken under color of law and within the scope of their employment.
- 177. As a result of Defendants' misconduct described in this Count, Plaintiff suffered loss of liberty, great mental anguish, humiliation, degradation, physical and emotional pain and suffering, and other grievous and continuing injuries and damages as set forth above.

178. Defendants' misconduct described in this Count was undertaken pursuant to the policies, practices, and customs of Defendant City of Chicago and by Defendants who were final policymakers for Defendant City of Chicago, in the manner described more fully above.

Count IV: 42 U.S.C. § 1983 - Conspiracy to Deprive Constitutional Rights

- 179. Each paragraph of this Complaint is incorporated as if restated fully herein.
- 180. Prior to Plaintiff's conviction, all of the Defendant Officers, acting in concert with other co-conspirators, known and unknown, reached an agreement among themselves to frame Plaintiff for a crime he did not commit and thereby to deprive him of his constitutional rights, all as described above.
- 181. In so doing, these co-conspirators conspired to accomplish an unlawful purpose by an unlawful means. In addition, these co-conspirators agreed among themselves to protect one another from liability by depriving Plaintiff of his rights.
- 182. In furtherance of their conspiracy, each of these co-conspirators committed overt acts and were otherwise willful participants in joint activity.
- 183. The misconduct described in this Count was objectively unreasonable and was undertaken intentionally, with reckless and deliberate indifference to the rights of others, and with total disregard of the truth and of Plaintiff's innocence.
- 184. The Defendants' actions were taken under color of law and within the scope of their employment.

- 185. As a result of Defendants' misconduct described in this Count, Plaintiff suffered loss of liberty, great mental anguish, humiliation, degradation, physical and emotional pain and suffering, and other grievous and continuing injuries and damages as set forth above.
- 186. Defendants' misconduct described in this Count was undertaken pursuant to the policies, practices, and customs of Defendant City of Chicago and by Defendants who were final policymakers for Defendant City of Chicago, in the manner described more fully above.

Count V: Illinois Law - Malicious Prosecution

- 187. Each paragraph of this Complaint is incorporated as if restated fully herein.
- 188. In the manner described more fully above, Defendants accused Plaintiff of criminal activity and exerted influence to initiate, continue, and perpetuate judicial proceedings against Plaintiff without any probable cause for doing so.
- 189. In so doing, these Defendants caused Plaintiff to be subjected improperly to judicial proceedings for which there was no probable cause. These judicial proceedings were instituted and continued maliciously, resulting in injury.
- 190. The Defendants' actions were taken under color of law and within the scope of their employment.
- 191. As a result of Defendants' misconduct described in this Count, Plaintiff suffered loss of liberty, great mental anguish, humiliation, degradation, physical

and emotional pain and suffering, and other grievous and continuing injuries and damages as set forth above.

Count VI: Illinois Law - Intentional Infliction of Emotional Distress

- 192. Each paragraph of this Complaint is incorporated as if restated fully herein.
- 193. The actions, omissions, and conduct of Defendant Officers, as set forth above, were extreme and outrageous. These actions were rooted in an abuse of power and authority and were undertaken with the intent to cause, or were in reckless disregard of the probability that their conduct would cause, severe emotional distress to Plaintiff, as is more fully alleged above.
- 194. The Defendants' actions were taken under color of law and within the scope of their employment.
- 195. As a result of Defendants' misconduct described in this Count, Plaintiff suffered loss of liberty, great mental anguish, humiliation, degradation, physical and emotional pain and suffering, and other grievous and continuing injuries and damages as set forth above.

Count VII: Illinois Law - Civil Conspiracy

- 196. Each paragraph of this Complaint is incorporated as if restated fully herein.
- 197. As described more fully in the preceding paragraphs, Defendants, acting in concert with other co-conspirators, known and unknown, reached an agreement among themselves to frame Plaintiff for a crime he did not commit and

conspired by concerted action to accomplish an unlawful purpose by an unlawful means. In addition, these co-conspirators agreed among themselves to protect one another from liability for depriving Plaintiff of his rights.

- 198. In furtherance of their conspiracy, each of these co-conspirators committed overt acts and were otherwise willful participants in joint activity.
- 199. The misconduct described in this Count was objectively unreasonable and was undertaken intentionally, with reckless and deliberate indifference to the rights of others, and with total disregard of the truth and of Plaintiff's innocence.
- 200. As a result of Defendants' misconduct described in this Count, Plaintiff suffered loss of liberty, great mental anguish, humiliation, degradation, physical and emotional pain and suffering, and other grievous and continuing injuries and damages as set forth above.

Count VIII: Illinois Law – Respondent Superior

- 201. Each paragraph of this Complaint is incorporated as if restated fully herein.
- 202. While committing the acts alleged in the preceding paragraphs,

 Defendant Officers were employees, members, and agents of the City of Chicago,
 acting at all relevant times within the scope of their employment.
- 203. Defendant City of Chicago is liable as principal for all torts committed by their agents.

Count IX: Illinois Law - Indemnification

- 204. Each paragraph of this Complaint is incorporated as if restated fully herein.
- 205. Illinois law provides that public entities are directed to pay any tort judgment for compensatory damages for which employees are liable within the scope of their employment.
- 206. Defendant Officers were employees, members, and agents of the City of Chicago, acting at all relevant times within the scope of their employment in committing the misconduct described herein.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff Tyrone Herron respectfully requests that this Court enter a judgment in his favor and against the City of Chicago, former Chicago Police Sergeant Ronald Watts, Officer Elsworth J. Smith, Jr., Officer Robert Gonzalez, Sergeant Alvin Jones, Officer Brian Bolton, Philip J. Cline, Debra Kirby, Karen Rowan, and other as-yet-unidentified officers of the Chicago Police Department, awarding compensatory damages, attorneys' fees and costs against each Defendant, punitive damages against each of the individual Defendants, and any other relief this Court deems just and appropriate.

JURY DEMAND

Plaintiff, Tyrone Herron, hereby demands a trial by jury pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 38(b) on all issues so triable.

Respectfully submitted,

<u>/s/ Scott Rauscher</u> One of Plaintiffs' Attorneys

Jon Loevy
Arthur Loevy
Scott Rauscher
Theresa Kleinhaus
Joshua Tepfer
Sean Starr
Mariah Garcia
Loevy & Loevy
311 North Aberdeen Street
Third Floor
Chicago, Illinois 60607
Phone: (312) 243-5900